

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,164	10/17/2001	Ronald Schoop	01179	3945
23338 7590 11/16/2007 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			TINKLER, MURIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3691	
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<u></u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/978,164	SCHOOP, RONALD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Muriel Tinkler	3691				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	September 2007.					
. –	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail.[
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-3-2002.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. Claims 13 and 14 are pending. The rejection(s) are as stated below.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Matsumoto and Gutman do not disclose the use of cycle times of the CPU. The Applicant has not discloses a description of what these cycle time accomplish. For instance, page 6 of the specification used operating time and cycle time interchangeably. It is unclear exactly what the cycle time actually does and how it relates to the operating time or operations performed by the CPU.
- 2. For the purposes of the current examination of this application, the Examiner will assume that cycle time of the CPU and operating time are synonymous.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 13 and 14 discloses detecting cycle times and measuring cycle times respectively. It is unclear exactly what the cycle time actually does and how it relates to the operating time or operations performed by the CPU. For instance, page 6 of the specification used operating time and cycle time interchangeably. For the purposes of the current examination of this application, the Examiner will assume that cycle time of the CPU and operating time are synonymous.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 7. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsumoto et al. (US 6,230,971), hereafter referred to as Matsumoto

8. Regarding claim 13, Matsumoto discloses: "the automation device is equipped with a module for registering the operating time and/or the operations performed by the automation device in that the module is coupled to an evaluation unit for calculating a user fee accrued by the user for use of the automation device"; in claim 3, "A key control apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising, a time measuring unit which measures a time" and an, "electronic money processor to calculate a charge in accordance with the measured time"; "the evaluating unit is integrated with the module into a component, in that the component is equipped with a memory unit used to store an amount of operating credit and/or a reading unit for a payment card, and in that the amount of operating credit is automatically deducted in correspondence with the amount of operating time and/or the operations performed by the automation device"; in claim 9, "an IC card reader/writer which reads and writes the information of the IC card; an electronic money processor which processes the electronic money of the information stored in the IC card"; in the Summary of the Invention, particularly in column 3 (lines 1-4), "a unit for measuring a time, a unit for calculating an amount of money to be charged on the basis of the information concerning the time as measured"; "the evaluation unit is equipped with a calculating unit such as a calculation table, in which the operating times and/or operations are assigned corresponding debit amounts"; and, in paragraph 49 of the detailed description, "a first charge calculating unit 38 calculates the amount of money to be charged for the use of the IC-card-operated electronic locker system."

9. Regarding claim 14, Matsumoto discloses: measuring operating time during execution of an action using a special debit amount (assigning different or special amounts) for transactions in column 23 (lines 12-50); allocating special credit amounts in accordance with executed actions in column 24 (lines 16-27); in claim 1, "electronic money processor to calculate a charge in accordance with the measured time"; "the registered service data are transmitted via a data transmission medium to a central point, or are evaluated on-site in the automation device, wherein the operating time and/or the operations performed are used to calculate user fees, preferably using a calculation table, wherein a predetermined amount of credit, stored in the automation device or in the central point, or loaded using a payment card, is debited in keeping with the operating time and/or operations performed by the automation device (12, 14)"; in claim 15, "an identification number storing unit which stores an identification number of the information stored in the IC card...said electronic money processor subtracts the electronic money information of the IC card"; "a safety unit, which halts operation of the automation device as soon as the stored credit has been used up"; and, in claim 17, "a transaction is suspended at a time when a monetary deficiency is indicated by the electronic money information of the inserted IC card."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Muriel Tinkler whose telephone number is (571)272-

Application/Control Number:

09/978,164

Art Unit: 3691

Page 6

7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM

until 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MT

November 5, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER